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Abstract

AIM
To investigate whether the use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) increases the incidence of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) in patients with cirrhosis and ascites.

METHODS
An historical cohort study was carried out in cirrhotic outpatients with ascites followed in a specialized clinic at a tertiary hospital in Southern Brazil. Patient charts were reviewed to collect information on the variables of interest as the use of PPIs. Primary outcome was defined as development of SBP during the study period. SBP was diagnosed based on ascitic fluid polymorphonuclear cell count ≥ 250 cells/mm³ without evidence of an intra-abdominal, surgically treatable source of infection.

RESULTS
Of 738 cirrhotic patients, 582 (58.2% male) were enrolled, with mean age of 53.6 ± 12 years. Hepatitis C virus infection (36.2%) and alcohol abuse (25.6%) were the main etiologies of cirrhosis. The presence of ascites was detected in 299 (51.4%) patients during the development of the study. Nineteen patients with previous diagnosis of SBP undergoing secondary prophylaxis and 22 patients with insufficient PPI data were further excluded. Of 258
patients with ascites, 151 used PPIs, and 34 developed SBP (22.5%). Among 107 non-users of PPIs, 23 developed SBP (21.5%) (HR = 1.44, 95%CI: 0.85-2.47, P = 0.176). The median follow-up time of patients using PPI was 27 mo vs 32 mo for non-users. Univariate analysis of the risk factors associated with the development of SBP revealed a significant association of SBP with the severity of liver disease according to the Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) score. Multivariate analysis confirmed that CTP score was the only independent variable influencing the occurrence of SBP. Survival at 60 mo (Kaplan-Meier analysis) was similar in users and non-users of PPI, independently of the presence of SBP (58.4% vs 62.7% respectively, P = 0.66). For patients with SBP, survival at 60 mo was 55.1%, vs 61.7% in patients without SBP (P = 0.34).

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, the rate of SBP was not significantly different in users or non-users of PPIs in this cohort of cirrhotic with ascites.
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Core tip: The aim of the present study was to investigate whether the use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) increases the incidence of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) in patients with cirrhosis and ascites. An historical cohort study was carried out with cirrhotic patients. The primary outcome was development of SBP. Of 258 patients with ascites, 151 used PPIs, and 34 developed SBP (22.5%). Among 107 non-users of PPIs, 23 developed SBP (21.5%) (HR = 1.44, 95%CI: 0.85-2.47, P = 0.176). In conclusion, the use of PPIs does not increase the incidence of SBP in patients with cirrhosis and ascites.


INTRODUCTION
The incidence and severity of bacterial infections have been reported to be greater in cirrhotic patients as compared to the general population[1]. In fact, there is evidence that bacterial infections are the cause of death in up to 25% of patients with cirrhosis[2], leading to a four-fold increase in mortality in this population[3]. Supporting this information, a study conducted in our center analyzed 541 consecutively hospitalized cirrhotic patients, revealing the presence of infection in 25% of the cases. In that study, the mortality of infected patients was also four-fold higher as compared to non-infected patients[4]. In addition, infection may trigger other typical complications associated with increased morbidity and mortality in cirrhosis[5,6].

Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) is the most characteristic infection in cirrhosis, and prompt recognition and treatment are required to reduce the associated morbidity and mortality.

Bacterial translocation has been described as a key mechanism in SBP development. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth potentially promotes bacterial translocation[7,8]. Thus, it has been speculated that chronic acid suppression by proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) - which favors gastric and duodenal bacterial colonization - may contribute to small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and consequently increase the incidence of SBP[9].

Nevertheless, there is some controversy regarding the role of PPIs in SBP. The findings of observational studies suggesting PPIs as a risk factor for SBP[10-12] have been supported by retrospective studies[13-19] and meta-analyses[20,21] providing evidence of increased SBP incidence associated with PPI use; however, recent studies by Mandorfer et al[22] and Terg et al[23] have not observed this relationship. The present study aimed to investigate the association of PPI treatment with the incidence of SBP in a cohort of outpatients with cirrhosis and ascites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This historical cohort study included outpatients with a diagnosis of cirrhosis treated in the Portal Hypertension Clinic at Hospital Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre, a tertiary hospital in the Southern Brazil, between March 2005 and March 2014.

The diagnosis of cirrhosis was confirmed by clinical, laboratory, and imaging data, endoscopy or histologic examination. Outpatient follow-up of at least 1 year was required for inclusion in the study. Primary outcome was defined as development of SBP during the study period.

Patient charts were reviewed to collect information on the variables of interest: Age, sex, etiology of liver disease, Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) score[24] and Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score[25], comorbidities, continuous medications (including but not restricted to PPIs), lifetime, hospital admissions, and complications including ascites, SBP, upper gastrointestinal bleeding. At each outpatient visit, serum levels of albumin, creatinine, bilirubin, platelets, and prothrombin time were recorded.

Exclusion criteria were lack of diagnostic confirmation of cirrhosis, co-infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), diagnosis of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (beyond the Milan criteria)[26] at the first outpatient consultation, and missing clinical data. In addition, in patients with ascites at the moment of enrolment and those undergoing secondary prophylaxis
Due to prior diagnosis of SBP were excluded. PPI treatment was defined as continuous when in use for at least 3 mo. Indications for PPI treatment were determined based on chart review.

The primary outcome, SBP, was diagnosed based on ascitic fluid polymorphonuclear cell count \( \geq 250 \) cells/mm\(^3\) without evidence of an intra-abdominal, surgically treatable source of infection\(^{[7,27,28]}\). The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Hospital ISCMMP (protocol 3675/11).

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous data were expressed as means and SD or medians and interquartile range in case of non-Gaussian distribution. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentage. Student’s \( t \) test was used for comparison of means, and Mann-Whitney’s \( U \) test for comparison of medians. Categorical data were compared using the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test. The incidence of SBP during the follow-up period was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier (KM) method. The comparison of KM curves of users vs non-users of PPI was performed using the log-rank test. The magnitude of the association between PPI use and presence of SBP was expressed as hazard ratio (HR) with 95%CI, and calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for CTP and MELD scores and the presence of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Data were processed and analyzed using SPSS v. 22.0 at a significance level of \( P = 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

Of 738 eligible patients, 156 were excluded: 14 patients with HIV, 88 without diagnostic confirmation of cirrhosis or loss of follow-up, and 54 with missing clinical data. The mean age of the 582 patients included in the initial sample was 53.6 ± 12 years, and 58.2% were male. Hepatitis C infection (36.2%) and alcohol abuse (25.6%) were the main etiologies of cirrhosis. Median outpatient follow-up was 5 years.

The presence of ascites was detected in 299 (51.4%) patients during the development of the study. A further 19 patients with a previous diagnosis of SBP undergoing secondary prophylaxis and 22 patients with insufficient PPI data were excluded. Thus, 258 patients with ascites were selected for follow-up (Figure 1). The median follow-up time of patients using PPI was 27.1 (3-60) mo vs 32.2 (7-60) mo for non-users of PPI. The patients were using a standard dose of 20 mg qd of omeprazole, the medication available free of charge in the public health system.

Demographic, clinical, and laboratory data of users and non-users of PPI are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were detected between the groups. Of 151 users of PPI, 34 (22.5%) developed SBP vs 23 (21.5%) of 107 non-users of PPI. This comparison was not statistically significant (HR = 1.44, 95%CI: 0.85-2.47, \( P = 0.176 \)) (Figure 2).

Univariate analysis of the risk factors associated with the development of SBP revealed a significant association with the severity of liver disease according to the CTP score. Multivariate analysis confirmed that CTP score was the only independent variable influencing the occurrence of SBP. Patients with CTP-B and C had a two-fold and three-fold increase, respectively, in the risk of SBP as compared to patients with CTP-A (HR = 2.16, 95%CI: 1.14-4.09, \( P = 0.018 \) in CTP-B patients and HR = 3.77, 95%CI: 1.66-8.59, \( P = 0.002 \) in CTP C patients) (Table 2). Using the COX model, the events occurred in Child A 18.2%; Child B 35.6%; and Child C 52.7%; \( P < 0.001 \). Throughout the follow-up period, the Child C patients presented a higher mortality.

Survival at 60 mo (Kaplan-Meier analysis) was similar in users and non-users of PPI, independently of the presence of SBP (58.4% vs 62.7% respectively, \( P = 0.66 \)). For patients with SBP, survival at 60 mo was 55.1%, vs 61.7% in patients without SBP (\( P = 0.34 \)).

In the group of 151 patients using PPI, 19 patients had a diagnosis of peptic ulcer (12.6%), 20 presented gastric esophageal reflux (13.1%) and 17 used PPI to treat dyspepsia (11.3%). Evidence of formal indication for PPI treatment was not found in the chart of 95 patients (63%).

**DISCUSSION**

Given the importance of SBP in the context of liver disease, the identification of possible risk factors is crucial to prevent this infection. Among possible risk factors, the disease, the identification of possible risk factors is crucial to prevent this infection.
Table 1  Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of patients classified according to the use or not of proton pump inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Use of PPI Yes (n = 151)</th>
<th>Use of PPI No (n = 107)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>54.7 ± 11.2</td>
<td>53.1 ± 11.3</td>
<td>0.26(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (%)</td>
<td>63.30%</td>
<td>62.60%</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology of liver disease (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C Virus</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
<td>34.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>27.00%</td>
<td>34.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol + hepatitis C virus</td>
<td>24.30%</td>
<td>19.80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14.20%</td>
<td>11.30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count, × 10^3/mm(^3)</td>
<td>126 ± 81</td>
<td>112 ± 56</td>
<td>0.13(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dL</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.15(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/dL</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.70(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin, mg/dL</td>
<td>1.30 (0.80-2.60)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.90-2.60)</td>
<td>0.59(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time, INR</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.24(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Turcotte-Pugh score (%)</td>
<td>42.40%</td>
<td>36.40%</td>
<td>0.37(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (%)</td>
<td>21.90%</td>
<td>18.70%</td>
<td>0.64(^4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean ± SD, median (25-75 interquartile range) or n (%). \(^1\)Student’s t test; \(^2\)Fisher’s exact test; \(^3\)Mann-Whitney’s U test. MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease.

Table 2  Relationship between selected variables and presence of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n Events n (%)</th>
<th>Bivariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95%CI) P</td>
<td>HR (95%CI) P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>151 34 (22.5)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.85-2.47) 0.176</td>
<td>1.50 (0.87-2.58) 0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>107 23 (21.5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>103 15 (26.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>119 30 (25.6)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.12-3.92) 0.020</td>
<td>2.16 (1.14-4.09) 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>36 12 (31.1)</td>
<td>3.62 (1.69-7.78) 0.001</td>
<td>3.77 (1.66-8.59) 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD ≥ 15</td>
<td>78 19 (24.3)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.81-2.45) 0.226</td>
<td>0.95 (0.52-1.72) 0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD &lt; 15</td>
<td>180 38 (66.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>53 11 (19.3)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.48-1.79) 0.808</td>
<td>0.99 (0.51-1.92) 0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>205 46 (83.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPI: Proton pump inhibitor; CTP: Child-Turcotte-Pugh; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; UGB: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

The role of PPIs has been recently discussed. To our knowledge, this is the first study conducted in Brazil in a cohort representing a population with typical sociodemographic characteristics such as racial heterogeneity, probably traducing a differentiation in the gut microbiota.

The gastric acidity exerts a defense of the host against enteric pathogens, supporting the hypothesis of an influence of acid suppression on the development of secondary infections resulting from increased bacterial populations in the gastrointestinal tract. As in the pathogenesis of other bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis, bacterial translocation plays a key role in the genesis of SBP, and has been described as the main trigger of SBP development\[^{29-31}\]. The increased prevalence of bacterial overgrowth and intestinal dysmotility in cirrhotic patients with SBP when compared to cirrhotic patients without SBP underscores the role of intestinal microflora in the pathogenesis of this infection\[^{32}\]. A prospective study with 70 patients with cirrhosis analyzed jejunal secretion cultures and observed an association of bacterial overgrowth and acid-suppressive therapy \(^{\text{P} \approx 0.01}\) and hypochlorhydria \((P < 0.001)\); nevertheless, no statistical association was detected between the presence of SBP and bacterial overgrowth or acid-suppressive therapy\[^{8}\]. With regard to the microbiota, few studies\[^{33-35}\] were carried out in Brazil, making interesting the pioneer knowledge of the impact of the PPIs in cirrhosis.

In this study, a cohort of patients with cirrhosis was followed-up, allowing the estimation of...
the incidence of SBP in users or non-users of PPI. We did not observe an association between the use of PPI and the incidence of SBP. However, the degree of liver dysfunction expressed as CTP score was strongly related to incidence of SBP, with a three-fold increase in risk of SBP in patients with more severe disease (CTP C), as reported in other studies \[13,36\]. This association is also emphasized by previous observations showing that liver dysfunction is related to increased bacterial translocation \[7,37\].

It should be noted that some studies suggesting an association between PPIs and SBP did not achieve statistically significant results \[8,13\], or were unable to confirm this association in multivariate analyses \[17\]. It is important to emphasize that the studies linking the use of anti-secretory therapy to increased frequency of SBP are mostly retrospective or case-control in design \[13-19,38\].

Bajaj et al. \[38\] have not observed significant associations between the use of PPI and the rate of severe infections (HR = 1.08, 95%CI: 0.90-1.30) or infections related to acid-suppressive therapies (HR = 1.22, 95%CI: 0.97-1.52), except when the duration of PPI treatment was taken into account. In this study, the authors do not describe the severity of liver disease of patients.

Min et al. \[39\] reported an association between PPIs and SBP based on results from 1554 patients with cirrhosis and ascites. There were 90 cases of SBP among 512 users of PPI (10.6%) and 146 cases of SBP among 1042 non-users (5.8%). The annual incidence rate of SBP was higher in those using PPIs (HR 1.396, 95%CI: 1.057-1.843, \(P = 0.176\)), with no reported influence of H2RA. This has prompted a discussion regarding whether the difference between these acid-suppressive therapies results from a stronger acid-suppressive effect and greater delay in gastric emptying with PPIs \[40,41\] or from weaknesses in the hypothesis of acid-suppressive therapy as an independent risk factor for SBP. In the present study, all patients received omeprazole 20 mg qd, since this is the medication available free of charge in the Public Health System.

Meta-analyses \[20,21,42\] carried out to evaluate the association between acid-suppressive therapies and SBP have confirmed a relationship. The first of these \[20\] meta-analyzed case-control and retrospective studies with hospitalized patients. The meta-analyzed studies involved 772 individuals with cirrhosis using PPIs, for and odds ratio (OR) of 2.77 (95%CI: 1.82-4.23). A second meta-analysis \[21\] involved 3815 patients with cirrhosis, and showed significantly higher risk of SBP in users of PPIs vs non-users (OR = 3.15, 95%CI: 2.09-4.74, \(P < 0.00001\)); however, once again that study included mostly retrospective, case-control studies of hospitalized patients. Other limitations included the lack of information regarding dose and duration of PPI and H2RA treatment. The more recent meta-analysis \[42\] evaluated 7822 patients from 14 studies (6 case-control studies with 817 patients and 8 cohort studies with 7005 patients). The authors found statistically significant but quantitatively small associations between SBP and the use of PPIs. After adjustment for publication bias, there was very low-quality evidence per the GRADE approach in favor of this association. Therefore, they suggest that patients with cirrhosis who have indications for the use of PPI should not be denied because of concern for precipitating SBP.

In the same way, van Vlerken et al. \[36\] did not observe an influence of PPIs on bacterial infection in a prospective analysis of cirrhotic patients receiving outpatient follow-up (HR = 1.2, 95%CI: 0.5-3.0, \(P = 0.72\)). It should be noted, however, that those authors had only a small number of cases of SBP. More recently, Mandonfer et al. \[42\] carried out a retrospective cohort analysis of 607 patients submitted to paracentesis and did not identify PPIs as a risk factor for SBP. Similarly, in a multicenter study with 521 cirrhotic patients, Terg et al. \[23\] reported similar SBP rates in patients at increased risk of SBP infection - 79.5% in users and 78.7% in non-users of PPIs.

The low mortality observed in patients with SBP in relation to the group without this infection is probably related to the fact that these infections are community-acquired, which results in a lower severity. We recently published a study showing the relevance of multiresistant bacteria in patients with nosocomial SBP, which certainly worsens the prognosis of these patients \[43\]. However, when patients with a greater impairment of hepatocellular function were evaluated (Child C), mortality was higher.
One aspect that deserves attention is the high prevalence of PPI use (58%) in our patients, and the fact that 63% of those using PPI did not have evidence of formal indication for PPI therapy. Similar data have been previously described, with PPI used by as many as 86% of patients and used by as many as 63% patients without documented indications. PPIs have been used to prevent gastroesophageal reflux and worsening of inflammation and esophageal ulceration following band ligation and sclerotherapy in cirrhotic patients; however, this practice is questionable. As possible limitations of the present study we should note that most of the data were obtained from reviewing the charts, which is important to remark thus we are aware of the potential biases.

In conclusion, considering the current uncertainty regarding PPIs as a risk factor for SBP in patients with cirrhosis, the present study evaluated an historical cohort of cirrhotic outpatients with ascites and did not find evidence of increased incidence of SBP with the use of PPIs. In addition, the CTP score was strongly related to incidence of SBP.
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